

RECEIVED

APR - 4 2012

OFFICE OF
DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

At a Regular Term of the Supreme Court of Appeals continued and held at Charleston, Kanawha County, on the 29th of March 2012, the following order was made and entered:

Lawyer Disciplinary Board, Petitioner

vs.) 11-0845

Kenneth J. Ford, a member of The West
Virginia State Bar, Respondent

On a former day, to-wit, February 24, 2012, came the Hearing Panel Subcommittee of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board, by David A. Jividen, its chairperson, pursuant to Rule 3.10 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, and presented to the Court its written recommended disposition in this matter, recommending that: (1) respondent's license to practice law be annulled; (2) in the event that respondent is reinstated in the future, his law practice be supervised for two years and he be required to complete twelve hours of continuing legal education in ethics in addition to such ethics hours he is otherwise required to complete to maintain his active license to practice; and (3) respondent be ordered to reimburse the Lawyer Disciplinary Board the costs of this proceeding.

Thereafter, on March 15, 2012, came the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, by Renée N. Frymyer, its attorney, and stated no objection to the recommendation.

Upon consideration whereof, the Court does concur with the recommendation and doth hereby approve the recommendation of the Hearing Panel Subcommittee.

It is therefore ordered that: 1) the respondent's license to practice law in the State of West Virginia be, and it hereby is, annulled; (2) prior to petitioning for reinstatement,

pursuant to Rule 3.33, Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, respondent must complete, in addition to the twelve hours of continuing legal education required by West Virginia State Bar Rules and Regulations, Chapter VII, Rules to Govern Mandatory Continuing Legal Education, twelve hours of continuing legal education in ethics; and (3) respondent shall pay the costs of this proceeding pursuant to Rule 3.15 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure.

Service of a copy of this order upon all parties herein shall constitute sufficient notice of the contents herein.

A True Copy

Attest: /s/ Rory L. Perry II, Clerk of Court

