


STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

At a Regular Term of the Supreme Court of Appeals continued and held at Charleston, Kanawha County, on the 2nd of November, 2006, the following order was made and entered:

Lawyer Disciplinary Board, Petitioner

vs.) No. 32569 and 32755

Carolyn Sue Daniel, Respondent



On a former day, to-wit, September 18, 2006, came the Hearing Panel Subcommittee of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board, by David A. Jividen, its chairperson, Michael R. Whitt and Susan V. Fisher, pursuant to Rule 3.10 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, and presented to the Court its written recommended disposition in these matters, stipulated and agreed to by the parties, recommending that: (1) the respondent's law license be annulled; (2) that prior to petitioning for reinstatement of her law license, that the respondent be ordered to reimburse the following: (a) Debbie A. Benner-\$ 709.00; (b) Juanita R. Carter-\$ 209.00; (c) Arthur and Jamie Hamilton-\$ 710.00; (d) Dawn R. Pickett-\$250.00; (e) Karen A. Wright-\$759.00; (f) V. Maxine McIntire-\$209.00; (g) Deana A. Reeder-\$709.00; (h) Mary M. Jacobs-\$325.00; and (3) the respondent be ordered to reimburse the Lawyer Disciplinary Board the costs of these proceedings pursuant to Rule 3.15 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure.

Thereafter, on the 17th day of October, 2006, came the petitioner, the Lawyer Disciplinary Board, by Rachael L. Fletcher, its attorney, and stated no objection thereto.

Upon consideration whereof, the Court is of opinion to and doth hereby adopt the stipulated written recommended disposition of the Hearing Panel Subcommittee of the

Lawyer Disciplinary Board. It is therefore ordered that: (1) the respondent's law license be annulled; (2) that prior to petitioning for reinstatement of her law license, that the respondent be ordered to reimburse the following: (a) Debbie A. Benner-\$ 709.00; (b) Juanita R. Carter-\$ 209.00; (c) Arthur and Jamie Hamilton-\$ 710.00; (d) Dawn R. Pickett-\$250.00; (e) Karen A. Wright-\$759.00; (f) V. Maxine McIntire-\$209.00; (g) Deana A. Reeder-\$709.00; (h) Mary M. Jacobs-\$325.00; and (3) the respondent be ordered to reimburse the Lawyer Disciplinary Board the costs of these proceedings pursuant to Rule 3.15 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure.

Service of an attested copy of this order shall constitute sufficient notice of its contents.

A True Copy

Attest: _____


Clerk, Supreme Court of Appeals