STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

At a Regular Term of the Supreme Court of Appeals continued and held at Charleston, Kanawha County, on the 4th of September, 2008, the following order was made and entered:

Lawyer Disciplinary Board, Petitioner

vs.) No. 33517

Stephen Michael Bailey, an inactive member of The West Virginia State Bar, Respondent

On a former day, to-wit, May 19, 2008, came the Hearing Panel Subcommittee of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board, by Michael R. Whitt, its chairperson, pursuant to Rule 3.20 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, and presented to the Court its written recommended disposition in this reciprocal disciplinary action, recommending the same sanction as the State of Colorado be imposed that: (1) the respondent's license to practice law in the State of West Virginia be suspended for a period of six months; (2) the respondent be required to petition for reinstatement pursuant to Rule 3.32(a) of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure; and (3) pursuant to Rule 3.15 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, respondent pay the costs of this disciplinary proceeding.

Upon consideration whereof, the Court is of opinion to and doth hereby adopt the written recommended disposition of the Hearing Panel Subcommittee of the Lawyer Disciplinary Board. It is therefore ordered that the same sanction imposed by the State of Colorado will be imposed, hereto: (1) the respondent's, Stephen M. Bailey, Iicense to practice law in the State of West Virginia be, and it hereby is, suspended for a period of six moths; (2) the respondent is required to petition for reinstatement pursuant to Rule

3.32(a) of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure; and (3) pursuant to Rule 3.15 of the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Procedure, respondent shall pay the costs of this disciplinary proceeding.

Service of an attested copy of this order shall constitute sufficient notice of its contents.

A True Copy

Attest:

Clerk, Supreme Court of Appeals